

April 27, 2012 B&V Project No. 176602

Mr. David J. Worthington Dept of Environmental Quality Revolving Loan Programs Constitution Hall 3rd Floor South 525 West Allegan P.O. Box 30241 LANSING MI 48933-1502

Re:

Green Project Reserve

Clean Water Revolving Fund (CWRF)

City of Grand Rapids

Dear Mr. Worthington:

This letter presents the North Aeration Blower Improvements Project at the Grand Rapids Wastewater Treatment Plant for Green Project Reserve (GPR) funding.

The project upgrades the existing aeration blower system in the North Secondary Treatment System and provides energy savings in excess of 20 percent versus the current system. Proposed improvements are being submitted under the Energy Efficiency Section 3.0, specifically paragraph 3.2-2 of the Guidance Document, as a project that achieves at least a 20% reduction in energy consumption. Therefore a business case for the portion of the project is not required.

Background

The project was reviewed in detail in the North Secondary Treatment Improvements Preliminary Design Report (Tetra Tech, Inc. 2009) and costing information is detailed in the CWRF Project Plan. The preliminary design report details the basis of design.

The project includes the following components and as a whole the project provides an energy savings in excess of 20 percent versus current technology and is presented for GPR funding consideration:

- Two new 10,000 SCFM (each) Blowers.
- Associated electrical and instrumentation controls package for blowers.
- Air piping system modifications to install new blowers.

All items in the project are integral to the project and are thus intended to be GPR qualifying.

The cost of the North Aeration Blower Improvements project as presented in the CWRF is \$2,388,805 and includes \$1,846,900 for construction cost, \$135,215 for contingency, \$184,690 for design engineering and \$222,000 for construction engineering, administration, and inspection. The 20-year present worth for the initial capital cost of (\$7,447,879) of the project is less than the 20-year present worth O&M cost for the existing (\$7,542,147) blower system without the improvements. The payback period, that is the project cost \$2,388,805 divided by the cost savings for reduced energy usage (\$174,283 per year), is 13.7 years for this work.

Conclusion

In summary, based on these analyses, we request consideration for the GPR per FY 2012 Appropriations Law (P.L. 111-88) for the North Aeration Blower Improvements project as an Energy Efficiency category project.

We understand that items which are determined to be GPR qualifying will need to be identified as separate items in a bid proposal and not lumped in with any non-qualifying items.

RECEIVED

JUN 2 8 2012

DEQ-RMD RLS

Mr. David J. Worthington Page 2 April 27, 2012

Sincerely, BLACK & VEATCH

Jeff A. Glover, P.E. Project Engineer

Attachments: Project Cost Calculations cc: Mr. Breese Stam, P.E. – City of Grand Rapids Mr. David Koch, P.E. – Black & Veatch

Cost Estimate Backup Calculation Information

O&M Cost Calculations - The backup calculations are from a previous report/study for the project.

Other Costs are in attached Spreadsheet including:

- Salvage Value Cost Calculations
- Present Worth of O&M and Salvage Value Calculations
- o Formula used for each calculation type (Formulas used are directly from the CWRF Guidance Document, or otherwise clearly explained.)

North Aeration Blower Improvements Alternatives

The O&M Costs of the North Aeration Blower Improvements Alternative and the No Action Alternative were previously documented in the Preliminary Design Report for the North Secondary Treatment Improvements. Select pages are reproduced herein and describe the O&M Costs.

The O&M Cost for the North Aeration Blower Improvements Alternative is \$399,265 per year. This cost consists of electrical energy cost of \$0.072 per kilowatt hour with blower power consumption and costs as described in attached Table 3 from Appendix 3 of the preliminary design report.

The O&M Cost for the No Action Alternative is \$573,548 per year. This cost consists of electrical energy cost of \$0.072 per kilo-watt hour with blower power consumption and costs as described in attached Table 1 from Appendix 3 of the preliminary design report.

See the attached spreadsheet at the end of this section for Present Worth of O&M, Salvage Value and Present Worth of Salvage Value Calculations.

<u>TABLE 3</u> OPTION III - HYBRID SYSTEM, TWO (2) NEW TURBLEX SINGLE STAGE BLOWER, RATED FOR 10,000 SCFM @10.75 psig

14.7 PSIA 68 deg F 36% STANDARDS 1dord Pressure (PSIA) 3 Temperature (deg F.) dard Retains Humidia

14,4 PSIA 10 dog F 30% 50 deg F 55% 100 deg F 65% SITE CONDITIONS
AND Pressure (PSIA)
Water Air Temperolate
Alter Raightee Hunridig,
I feel Aur Temperolate
C Foll Reduce Hunride,
more Alf Temperolate
more Alf Temperolate
more Alf Temperolate
more Alf Temperolate
more Alf

TOTAL AR REQUIREMENTS
Number of Operating Blowers
3
Meximum Dischauge Pressure
10,75 P

ENERGY COST Current Average Energy Cost (2006) Estimated Annual Increase in Energy Cost

0.072 SAVM 3.00%

\$166,981 \$183,926 0,072 0,072 Energy Consumption KVM 2,554,527 2,100,287 910,638 Annual Hours of Operation 0.50 0,25 93 Tates Otomors Shart key 370 88 Motor Ethanney Single-Stage 0.95 Motor Efficiency of Multistage 98.0 0,00 New GOO Hp New GOO Hp Eclaterg 450 Existing 450 Truthox Truthox Truthox Hp Multifrage Physique-Stree Stripe-Stree Stripe Street Hp Street Hp Street Hp Street Hp Street Hp Street Hp 0 ø 0 o ğ 0 ۰ g **4** t t 4 Humidity * RH **65%** * \$8 *66 Ar Yemp T 8 8 2 ON THE ORDER OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF ۰ ø 0 -5,500 0 2,500 8,250 4,250 2,500 10,000 Otecharge Pressure 10,75 20.75 10.75 Process Av Flow (actm) 22,000 10,000 \$5,000

NOIE, Energy consumption of multiplage blowers was based upon curve provided by Grand Rapida personnel

TOTAL ANNUAL ENERGY COST YEAR 2009 \$339,265

Ć

 $\frac{\text{TABLE } 1}{\text{OPTION I -EXISTING SYSTEM, FIVE (5) } \text{MULTISTAGE BLOWERS}$

STANDARDS
Idea's Pressure (PSIA)
1 Temperature (deg F)
dard Roleine Humidi)

74.7 PSIA 68 deg F 36%

SITE CONDITIONS on the Condition of the

14.4 PSJA 10 dog F 30% 50 deg F 85% 106 deg F 65%

10.75 PSIG TOTAL AIR REQUIREMENTS
Number of Operation Blowers

A
Maximum Discharge Pressure 10,75 J

0.072 SANA 3.00% ENERGY COST Cuttent Average Energy Cost (2006) Estimated Annual Increase of Energy Cost

, k

Energy Cost Cost (S) 0.072 \$100,077 0,072 0.072 Ehergy Consumption KWh 1,389,956 2,547,651 4,028,337 Evaluation Waight Factor 0.25 0,50 \$25 Total Slowers Shart kW 1,163 8 635 6.90 85 8 Evinting 450 Extuting 450 Exteing 450 Exteing 450 Forther 450 Hp Multitage Hp Shaft Hp Shaft Hp Shaft Hp ۰ 8 6 ۶ <u>۱</u> 370 324 365 55 SE 38 Huπadαy *RH **%99** 80% 30% Air Temp ş 8 2 Exerting 450 Executing 450 Executing 450 Extenting 450 Extenting 450 Extenting 450 Available and Ava 85. ø 8 900,5 5,500 5,000 5,000 5,500 5,000 5,000 10,75 10,75 10.75 Process Air Flow (scfm) 15,000 10,000 22,000

NOTE: Enolgy consumption of multistage blowers was based upon curve provided by Grand Rapids persoonel

TOTAL ANNUAL ENERGY COST YEAR 2009 \$573,548

ĺ

\$163,433

\$290,040

É

Annual O&M Cost for current north aeration blowers is =	\$573,548	Formulas A
Annual O&M Cost for proposed blowers improvements is =	\$399,265	8
Detailed costs breakdowns for the above numbers are as described in the attached Tables 1 and 3.		
The difference in annual O&M Cost between the current system and the proposed system is ≃	\$174,283	A-8
The entire North Aeration Blower Improvements project is necessary for the savings in energy cost. The project cost of the total project is =	\$2,388,805	c
Detailed cost breakdown for the project cost are included in the cost estimate backup portion of the project plan. (Excerpt Attached)		
The payback period on the project is the total project cost divided by the annual O&M cost savings.	13.7 years	C/(A-B)

3.2 Analysis of Principal Alternatives

3.2.1 North Aeration Blower Improvements

3.2.1.1 Monetary Evaluation.

A cost effective analysis was completed for each of the two principal alternatives. Note that backup calculations for O&M Costs, Salvage Value Costs, and Present Worth Costs are presented in Appendix K.

Principal Alternative

The cost analysis of the North Aeration Blower Improvements is based on the project improvements as described in Section 3.1.1.4.

Table 3-3
Estimated Project Cost Summary for North Aeration Blower Improvements
Alternative

ltem	Initial Estimates Capital Cost	Design Life (years)	Salvage Value
Two - 10,000 SCFM Blowers	\$1,351,020	30	\$450,340
Blower Installation and Piping Modifications	\$285,890	20	
Electrical and Instrumentation Controls Package	\$209,990	20	\$0
Design Engineering	\$184,690		
CE/I/Admin (12%)	\$222,000		
Contingency (6%)	\$135,215		
Subtotal - Estimated Project Budget	\$2,388,805		

No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative has additional associated operational costs due to continued use of the existing blowers, while the North Aeration Blower Improvements alternative replaces two of the existing blowers providing a significant cost savings by increasing energy efficiency.

Present Worth Analysis

Sunk costs are not included in the analysis. Sunk costs include any investments or financial commitments made before or during the project planning. These costs include the cost of the

existing facilities, land, outstanding bond indebtedness, etc. Day-to-day operation costs are not assumed to vary significantly from the alternatives. Table 3-4 shows the present worth analysis for the alternatives.

Table 3-4
Present Worth Analysis for North Aeration Blower Improvements Alternative

	North Aeration Blower Improvements		No Action	
Component	Actual Cost	20-year Present Worth	Actual Cost	20-year Present Worth
Initial Capital Cost	\$2,388,805	\$2,388,805	\$0	\$0
Annual O&M Cost	\$399,265	\$5,250,328	\$573,548	\$7,542,147
Salvage Value	\$450,340	(\$191,254)	\$0	\$0
Total 20-year Present Worth Estimate		\$7,447,879		\$7,542,147

3.2.1.2 Staging Construction.

The construction will be performed as a single contract. There is no benefit to staging/partitioning the work, as the work is within a single process area of the WWTP.

3.2.1.3 Partitioning the Project.

The construction will be performed as a single contract. There is no benefit to staging/partitioning the work, as the work is within a single process area of the WWTP.

3.2.1.4 Environmental Evaluation.

Cultural Resources

The work for the North Aeration Blower Improvements project will occur inside of property owned by the City of Grand Rapids. There will be no direct impact on any site outside of the existing WWTP during construction of the project.

The Natural Environment

Climate effects are not anticipated to affect the completion of the projects. Air quality will be temporarily impacted due to the exhaust of the heavy machinery required for construction. The construction will result in a temporary increase in noise. These factors may be noticeable to the nearby property owners, but will be controlled to the greatest extent possible by limiting construction to regular working hours during the week. The projects will not affect any nearby water bodies or flood plains, nor will they affect agricultural lands.

4.1.5 Mitigation of Environmental Impacts

See Section 5.0 for general discussion on environmental impacts.

4.1.6 Schedule for Design and Construction

The North Aeration Blower Improvements are scheduled for FY 2013 with a bid advertisement date in late 2012 for funding in the 2nd Quarter of FY 2011. The schedule is detailed in the project evaluation and review table (PERT) in Appendix E.

4.2 Monetary Cost Estimate

The present worth analyses of the alternative was presented earlier in Section 4.0. This section summarizes the alternative's estimated project costs including engineering design, administrative and legal costs, and construction. The cost estimates presented in this report reflect April 2012 costs. These cost estimates were prepared to determine approximate project costs to aid the City in its planning and budgeting process. There are a number of factors that could cause the actual project costs to deviate from these estimates. These include the competitive bidding climate at the time that the construction bids are received, inflation, and additions to or changes in the scope of the project that may occur during the design process.

The total estimated capital cost for the project is summarized below.

Table 4-1
Summary of Estimated Capital Costs

Project	Total Estimated Project Cost
North Aeration Blower Improvements	\$2,388,805
Total	\$2,388,805

Breaking the cost between estimated capital cost, contingencies, and engineering/administration/and legal produces the following:

Table 4-2
Breakdown of Estimated Project Costs

Estimated Capital Cost	\$2,031,590
Project Contingency	\$135,215
Engineering, Administration, Legal	\$222,000
Total	\$2,388,805

4.2.1 User Costs

The project is an integrated cost that benefits all users. The costs are distributed proportionately among users through a commodity charge based on the current sewer usage.

City of Grand Rapids Grand Rapids Metropolitan Area Project Plan Calculation of Present Worth of OM, Salvage Value and of Present Worth of Salvage Value

, 1

1.54

Present Worth of H = G/(1+D)^E Salvage Value \$191,254 \$191,254 formula ŝ ŝ Salvage Vatue Future Cost formula -G = B*(C-E)/C \$450,340 \$450,340 ŝ Q F = A"[(1+D)^E-1/(1+D)^E] Present Worth of OM \$5,250,328 \$7,542,147 Ľ Planning Period years នន នន S ш Discount Rate 4.375% 4.375% ۵ % Design Life varies years 8 ន្តន Annual OM Construction Cost Cost \$1,351,020 \$1,846,900 \$209,990 \$399,265 Electrical and Instrumentation Controls Package Complete North Aeration Blower Improvements Project No Action North Blower Improvements Project Two - 10 000 SCFM Blowers Blower installation and Piping Modifications Construction Cost North Aeration Blower Improvements Project

Notes:
1. Column letter designations A. B. C are used in the formulas listed below the named values writch are calculated. The columns not listing a formula are given values, not calculated in this worksheet.
2. Column F and H formulas are the same as the formulas provided in the SRF Guidance Document.